

Fetal hemoglobin and hemoglobin A_{1c} level among pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes

Wpływ hemoglobiny płodowej na stężenie hemoglobiny glikowanej w populacji dzieci z cukrzycą typu 1

¹Anna Iza Baranowska-Jaźwiecka, ¹Beata Mianowska, ²Wojciech Fendler, ¹Agnieszka Pomykała, ¹Wojciech Młynarski

¹Department of Pediatrics, Oncology, Hematology and Diabetology, Medical University, Łódź, Poland, Poland, ²Department of Biostatistics and Translational Medicine, Medical University of Lodz, Poland
¹Klinika Pediatrii, Onkologii, Hematologii i Diabetologii Uniwersytetu Medycznego w Łodzi, Polska
²Zakład Biostatystyki i Medycyny Translacyjnej Uniwersytetu Medycznego w Łodzi, Polska

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by a research grant of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland, number DI 2011 022641. Badanie finansowane było z grantu naukowego Ministerstwa Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego w Polsce, numer DI 2011 022641.

Abstract

Introduction. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) is used as a cumulative estimate of mean blood glucose levels from the preceding 5–12 weeks. This is the gold standard in assessing glycemic control in patients with diabetes. The ADA criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes, including HbA_{1c} level, contribute to the importance of recognizing any variation pertaining to the HbA_{1c} measurement. HbA_{1c} is often used as a primary endpoint in the interventional studies among patients with diabetes. Thus, knowledge about factors independently to glycemia, affecting HbA_{1c} is clinically useful. **Aim of study.** Evaluation variability of fetal hemoglobin (HbF) level among Polish children with diabetes and how it may affect the HbA_{1c} level measurement. **Material and methods.** This was a prospective cohort study. A laboratory HbA_{1c} testing was performed for more than 96% of pediatric diabetic patients in the region. In our study we included all consecutive patients aged 2 to 18 years with type 1 diabetes (T1D) and the disease duration longer than one year (555 patients). All patients had HbA_{1c} and HbF measured at three time-points during minimum one-year period. In the same time, clinical data were recorded. The measurements of HbA_{1c} and HbF were performed by means of cation-exchange high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a D-10 Dual A2/F/A1c (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistica 10.0 package (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA). **Results.** An average age in the observed group was 12.9±3.8 years, diabetes duration 5.6±3.4 years, HbA_{1c} was 7.59±1.33% (59±10.65 mmol/mol). In 78 (14%) patients elevated levels of HbF (>0.8%) were found at each time-point, mean value 1.2±0.45%. Elevated HbF was associated with younger age at examination (p=0.03) and younger age of diagnosis (p=0.01). It was not related to diabetes duration (p=0.21). No correlation between HbA_{1c} and HbF was observed in the study (R=-0.09; p=0.43). **Conclusions.** Fetal hemoglobin does not affect HbA_{1c} measurement among pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes older than 2 years.

Key words:

HbA_{1c}, hemoglobin F, type 1 diabetes, children

Streszczenie

Wstęp. Hemoglobina glikowana (HbA_{1c}) jest używana jako parametr odzwierciedlający średnie stężenie glukozy z okresu 5–12 tygodni przed badaniem. Jest ona złotym standardem w ocenie wyrównania metabolicznego u pacjentów z cukrzycą. Kryteria ADA rozpoznania cukrzycy włączają stężenie HbA_{1c} do istotnych czynników w procesie diagnozowania tej choroby. HbA_{1c} jest też często używana jako punkt końcowy w badaniach interwencyjnych u pacjentów z cukrzycą. Z tych przyczyn wiedza na temat czynników niezależnych od glikemii, wpływających na HbA_{1c}, może być klinicznie przydatna. **Cel badania.** Oznaczenie zmienności stężenia

hemoglobiny płodowej (HbF) wśród dzieci z cukrzycą w Polsce i ocena wpływu tej zmienności na stężenie HbA_{1c}. **Materiały i metody.** Badanie miało charakter prospektywny. Przeprowadzono oznaczenie stężenia HbA_{1c} u ponad 96% dzieci z cukrzycą w regionie łódzkim. Do badania włączono wszystkich pacjentów w wieku od 2 do 18 lat z cukrzycą typu 1 (T1D) i czasem trwania cukrzycy powyżej jednego roku (n=555). U wszystkich tych pacjentów przeprowadzono pomiar stężenia HbA_{1c} i HbF minimum trzykrotnie w ciągu roku. Z tych punktów czasowych zebrano dane kliniczne dotyczące pacjenta. Pomiar HbA_{1c} i HbF przeprowadzono metodą wysokosprawną chromatografię cieczową (HPLC) na aparacie D-10 Dual A2/F/A1c (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Analizę statystyczną przeprowadzono przy użyciu pakietu Statistica 10.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA). **Wyniki.** Średni wiek pacjentów w obserwowanej grupie wynosił 12,9±3,8 roku, czas trwania cukrzycy 5,6±3,4, stężenie HbA_{1c} 7,59±1,33% (59±10,65 mmol/mol). U 78 (14%) pacjentów stwierdzono podwyższone stężenie HbF (>0,8%) w każdym z obserwowanych punktów czasowych, z średnią wartością 1,2±0,45%. Podwyższone stężenie HbF było związane z młodszym wiekiem pacjentów (p=0,03) i młodszym wiekiem w momencie rozpoznania choroby (p=0,01). Nie zaobserwowano związku z czasem trwania cukrzycy (p=0,21). Nie stwierdzono korelacji pomiędzy stężeniem HbA_{1c} a HbF w obserwowanej grupie (R=-0,09; p=0,43). **Wnioski.** HbF nie wpływa na pomiar HbA_{1c} u starszych niż 2 lata pacjentów pediatrycznych z cukrzycą.

Słowa kluczowe:

HbA_{1c}, Hemoglobina płodowa, cukrzyca typu 1, dzieci

Introduction

Glycated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}), which is formed through the non-enzymatic glycation of hemoglobin A₁, is used as a cumulative estimate of mean blood glucose levels from the preceding 5–12 weeks in healthy people and in patients with diabetes. This is the gold standard in assessing glycemic control in patients with diabetes (1). The ADA criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes including HbA_{1c} level contribute to the importance of recognizing any variation pertaining to the HbA_{1c} measurement. For these reasons, the HbA_{1c} measurement should be precise and replicable and standardized by NGSP protocols (www.ngsp.org). Moreover, HbA_{1c} is often used as a primary endpoint in many interventional studies among patients with diabetes. Thus, knowledge about factors independent of glycemia, affecting HbA_{1c} is clinically useful. Recently, we have revealed a clear seasonal variability in HbA_{1c} among children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes and total bilirubin levels among children with type 1 diabetes (2, 3).

Hemoglobin (Hb) is composed of four globin chains. The most abundant form after the second year of life is hemoglobin A₁ (HbA₁; $\alpha_2\beta_2$ chains). Fetal hemoglobin (HbF; $\alpha_2\gamma_2$) is the predominant hemoglobin in the prenatal period (4). After birth, it is gradually replaced by the adult Hb (HbA₁) (5, 6). In normal conditions, HbF is present in less than 0.8% of the total Hb. This is usually asymptomatic, and is only noticed when screening for hematological disorders. It may be increased in children under 2 years. For this reason, HbA_{1c} level measurement in this group of patients can be inadequate. Moreover hemoglobinopathy and genetic variants of hemoglobin gene (*BCL11A* and *HBS1L-MYB*) may influence on the level of HbF (7-9). The main goal of this study is to evaluate the variability of the HbF level among Polish children with diabetes and examine how it may affect the HbA_{1c} level measurement.

Methods

Study participants and design

This was a prospective cohort study conducted in Lodz, an administrative district in central Poland populated by approxi-

mately 2.6 million inhabitants, between 1 August 2012 and 30 January 2015. A laboratory HbA_{1c} testing was performed for more than 96% of pediatric diabetic patients in the region, corresponding to the proportion of patients treated in the study center.

In our study, we included all consecutive patients aged 2 to 18 years with type 1 diabetes (T1D) and the disease duration longer than one year. The diagnosis based on the WHO criteria from 1999 and the presence of at least one anti-islet autoantibody (anti-GAD, anti-IA2, ICA or anti-ZnT8). All patients had HbA_{1c} and HbF measured at three time-points during minimum one-year period. At the same time, patient weight, height and insulin dose and the downloaded data downloaded from their glucometers were recorded. Participating patients and/or their parents provided written consent for the participation in the study. The protocol was approved by the University Bioethics Committee at the Medical University in Lodz, Poland (RNN/365/12/KB).

Laboratory methods

The measurement of HbA_{1c} and HbF was performed by cation-exchange high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a D-10 Dual A2/F/A1c (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), using reagents according to the manufacturer's instructions. This method was calibrated using calibrators supplied by the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) Network and was certified by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) as having documented traceability to the Diabetes Control and Complication Trial (DCCT) reference method (<http://www.ngsp.org/docs/methods.pdf>; last accessed January 2016). Capillary blood samples were collected using the Hemoglobin Capillary Collection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories GmbH, Munchen, Germany) and stored at the temperature of 8°C for 1-7 days until measurements were performed. Samples prepared using this procedure give reliable HbA_{1c} results after storing for 2 weeks at room temperature, for 4 week at 4-8°C, and for 4 days at 42°C. These procedures ensure stable HbF results after a

week of storing samples in 8°C. Reference values of HbA1c for healthy people estimated by our local laboratory were from 4.7 to 5.7%. The within run coefficient of variation (CV) determined by the manufacturer was 1.05% for normal patients and 0.94% for diabetic patients; the between run CV was 1.61% and 1.16 for normal and for diabetic patients respectively. The cutoff value for the precise HbF level detection was 0.8%. Thus, a detailed result of HbF was given only for the elevated level (in the another case it was given as “<0.8%”).

Statistical analysis

A statistical analysis was performed using the Statistica 10.0 package (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA). Univariate analyses were assessed with nonparametric tests: U-Mann Whitney’s test for comparisons between groups and Spearman’s correlation coefficient for quantitative variables. As statistically significant we considered results with a p value<0.05. Average values of HbA1c and HbF from all measurements in each patient during a one-year observation (at least three measurements for patient) were studied.

Results

In total, we had 819 pediatric patients with diabetes treated in the center during the study entry. Among them, 555 fulfilled the inclusion criteria. All individuals were of Caucasian origin. An average age was 12.9±3.8 years, diabetes duration 5.6±3.4 years, HbA1c was 7.59±1.33% (59±10.65 mmol/mol). The detailed group characteristics are displayed in table I. Average values of HbA1c and HbF strongly correlated with single time-point measurements (see also figure Ia and Ib).

In 78 (14%) patients we found elevated levels of HbF (>0.8%) in each time-point, mean value 1.2±0.45%. Detailed characteristics of these participants compared to the group without any elevated HbF measurement are presented in Table II. Elevated HbF was associated with younger age at examination (p=0.03) and younger age of diagnosis (p=0.01). It was not related to diabetes duration (p=0.21). Elevated HbF was not significantly different between boys and girls (p=0.84) or between CSII and MDI treatment (p=0.09). It was not related

Table I. Clinical characteristics of the study group
Tabela I. Charakterystyka kliniczna badanej grupy

	N	Median or number (%)	25–75% range	SD
Diagnosis age (years) / Wiek w chwili diagnozy (lata)	555	7.3	4.22–10.12	3.71
T1DM duration (years) / T1DM czas trwania (lata)	555	5.6	2.72–7.92	3.38
Observation age (years)	555	12.9	10.22–16.39	3.79
Sex / Płeć	Female / żeńska	260	(46.8)	
	Male / męska	295	(53.2)	
Insulin regimen metoda podawania insuliny	CSII	390	(70.3)	
	MDI	165	(29.7)	
Average HbA1c (%) / Średnia HbA1c (%)	555	7.59	6.74–7.99	1.33
Average HbA1c (mmol/mol) / Średnia HbA1c (mmol/mol)	555	59	50–64	10.65
Average HbF (%) / Średnia HbF (%)	78	1.28	1.0–1.37	0.45
Insulin dose (unit/kg/d) / Dawka insuliny (jednostka/kg/d)	555	0.82	0.68–0.97	0.23
Average glycemia from SMBG from 30 days before observation (mg/dl) Średnia z samokontroli glikemii 30 dni przed obserwacją (mg / dl)	555	157.1	137.13–171.14	33.00
Number of measurements from SMBG from 30 days before observation per day Liczba pomiarów z SMBG z 30 dni przed dniem obserwacji	555	6.65	5.02–7.98	2.53

T1DM – diabetes mellitus type 1; CSII – continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion; MDI – multiple daily injection; HbA1c – glycated hemoglobin; HbF – fetal hemoglobin; SMBG – self-monitoring of blood glucose
T1DM – cukrzyca typu 1; CSII – ciągły podskórny wlew insuliny; MDI – metoda wielokrotnych wstrzyknięć insuliny; HbA1c – hemoglobina glikowana
HbF – hemoglobina płodowa; SMBG – samodzielna kontrola glikemii

Table II. Characteristics of the patients with an elevated HbF level at each time-point and patients with normal HbF level at each time-point

Tabela II. Charakterystyka grupy pacjentów z podwyższonym stężeniem HbF w każdym punkcie obserwacji w porównaniu z grupą z prawidłowym stężeniem HbF w każdym punkcie obserwacji

	No-elevated HbF group (in each measurement) Grupa z prawidłowym stężeniem HbF (w każdym pomiarze)			Elevated HbF group (in each measurement) Grupa z podwyższonym stężeniem HbF (w każdym pomiarze)			P
	N	Median or number (%) Mediana lub liczba (%)	25–75% range	N	Median or number (%) Mediana lub liczba (%)	25–75% range	
Diagnosis age (yr) Wiek w chwili diagnozy (lata)	403	7.53	4.56–10.33	78	6.57	3.37–9.18	0.03
T1DM duration (yr) T1DM czas trwania (lata)	403	5.7	2.9–8.03	78	5.22	2.11–7.16	0.21
Age at examination (yr) Wiek w chwili badania (lata)	403	13.21	10.76–16.6	78	11.8	8.12–15.08	0.01
Sex / Płeć	Female / żeńska	181	(44.9)	36	(46.1)		0.84
	Male / męska	222	(55.1)	42	(53.9)		
Insulin regimen	CSII	280	(69.5)	59	(75.6)		0.09
	MDI	123	(30.5)	19	(24.4)		
Average HbA1c (%) / Średnia HbA1c (%)	403	7.58	6.73–7.99	78	7.53	6.71–7.94	0.89
Average HbA1c (mmol/mol) Średnia HbA1c (mmol/mol)	403	59	50–64	78	59	50–63	0.89
Insulin dose (unit/kg/d) Dawka insuliny (jednostka/kg/d)	403	0.82	0.68–0.97	78	0.86	0.7–0.99	0.32
Average glycemia from SMBG from 30 days before observation (mg/dl) Średnia glikemia z SMBG z 30 dni przed obserwacją (mg/dl)	403	156	137–170	78	160	137–170	0.66
Number of measurements from SMBG from 30 days before observation per day Liczba pomiarów z SMBG z 30 dni przed dniem obserwacji	403	6.65	5.07–7.95	78	6.48	4.74–8.01	0.69

T1DM – diabetes mellitus type 1; CSII – continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion; MDI – multiple daily injection; HbA1c – glycated hemoglobin; HbF – fetal hemoglobin; SMBG – self-monitoring of blood glucose
 T1DM – cukrzyca typu 1; CSII – ciągle podskórny wlew insuliny; MDI – metoda wielokrotnych wstrzyknięć insuliny; HbA1c – hemoglobina glikowana; HbF – hemoglobina płodowa; SMBG – samodzielna kontrola glikemii

to relationship the insulin dose, average glycemia or number of measurements.

No correlation between HbA1 and HbF was observed in the study ($R=-0.09$; $p=0.43$) (figure II). Patients with HbF level higher than the 3rd quartile ($>1.37\%$) had a slightly lower level of HbA1c ($p=0.12$).

Discussion

Our study was designed to evaluate a possible link between fetal hemoglobin and HbA1c measurement among pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes older than two years. It needs to be highlighted that in the study we also excluded children who were in diabetes remission phase (at least 1 year after diagnosis) to reduce this confounder which affects the HbA1c level and might interfere with HbA1c/HbF




Fig. 1a. Correlation between average HbF level (at least three measurements within one year) and HbF single measurement at study entry

Ryc. 1a. Korelacja pomiędzy średnim stężeniem HbF (minimum trzy pomiary w trakcie roku) a stężeniem HbF w pierwszym punkcie obserwacji




Fig. 1b. Correlation between average HbA1c level (at least three measurements within one year) and HbA1c level single measurement at study entry.

Ryc. 1b. Korelacja pomiędzy średnim stężeniem HbA1c (minimum trzy pomiary w trakcie roku) a stężeniem HbA1c w pierwszym punkcie obserwacji




Fig. 2. Correlation between average HbF level and average HbA1c level among children with type 1 diabetes and elevated HbF level (>0.8%)

Ryc. 2. Korelacja pomiędzy średnim stężeniem HbF (minimum trzy pomiary w trakcie roku) a stężeniem HbA1c w pierwszym punkcie obserwacji

relationship (10-12). Additionally, we performed the serial measurements of both HbA1c and HbF. This procedure excludes the variability error coming from single measurement approach.

We were able to confirm a relationship between the HbF level and age at examination and age at diagnosis in the

study group. There was no association between the HbF level and the duration of diabetes. Thus, HbF level-patient age at examination and at diabetes diagnosis is probably related to the effect of the different level of HbF during child development. Fortunately, we were not able to find the relationship between the HbF level and the HbA1c level measurements. Thus, it seems that HbA1c may serve as a reliable measurement for diabetes metabolic control among pediatric patients older than 2 years. In our study group only 14% of patient had an elevated level of HbF (>0.8%). However, average HbF level was 1.28% of total Hb in the group with elevated fetal hemoglobin. Previous reports have revealed that a significant increase in HbF affects HbA1c, especially concerning newborns, neonates and patients with hemoglobinopathies (13, 14). Among these patients, relatively high HbF levels (even greater than 70% in newborns and to 5% in patients with hemoglobinopathies) were observed (15, 16). In our total group, only slight increase in HbF was observed and this may explain a lack of interference with HbA1c measurements in our study.

In terms of limitations of the study, we have no recorded data on hemoglobinopathy and anemia in the study group, which might explain high HbF in some of the patients and affect HbA1c/HbF relationship (17, 18). Another limitation is unknown exact HbF values for patients with HbF below 0.8%, as a result of methodology. However, since we were not able to find an association between HbA1c and HbF in the group with an elevated HbF level, it is unlikely that HbF values below 0.8% might significantly affect HbA1c levels in the study population.

Conclusions

In general, fetal hemoglobin does not affect HbA_{1c} measurement among pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes older

than 2 years. However, in some groups of diabetic children, for instance neonates, one should be aware that the level of HbF hemoglobin subtypes might interfere with glycated HbA_{1c} values.

References

1. Amer Diabet A. *Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus*. Diabetes Care. 2014;37:S81-S90.
2. Mianowska B, Fendler W, Szadkowska A et al. *HbA_{1c} levels in schoolchildren with type 1 diabetes are seasonally variable and dependent on weather conditions*. Diabetologia. 2011;54(4):749-56.
3. Mianowska B, Kaminska A, Fendler et al. *Bilirubin is an independent factor inversely associated with glycated hemoglobin level in pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes*. Pediatric Diabetes. 2014;15(5):389-93.
4. Berglund SK, Lindberg J, Westrup B et al. *Effects of iron supplements and perinatal factors on fetal hemoglobin disappearance in LBW infants*. Pediatric Research. 2014;76(5):477-82.
5. Oneal PA, Gantt NM, Schwartz JD et al. *Fetal hemoglobin silencing in humans*. Blood. 2006;108(6):2081-6.
6. Sankaran VG, Orkin SH. *The Switch from Fetal to Adult Hemoglobin*. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine. 2013;3(1).
7. Bauer DE, Orkin SH. *Update on fetal hemoglobin gene regulation in hemoglobinopathies*. Current Opinion in Pediatrics. 2011;23(1):1-8.
8. Pardini VC, Victoria IMN, Pieroni FB et al. *Fetal hemoglobin levels are related to metabolic control in diabetic subjects*. Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 1999;32(6):695-701.
9. Lettre G, Sankaran VG, Bezerra MAC et al. *DNA polymorphisms at the BCL11A, HBS1L-MYB, and beta-globin loci associate with fetal hemoglobin levels and pain crises in sickle cell disease*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008;105(33):11869-74.
10. Paisey RB, Read R, Palmer R, Hartog M. *Persistent fetal hemoglobin and falsely high glycosylated hemoglobin levels*. British Medical Journal. 1984;289(6440):279-80.
11. Rohlfing CL, Connolly SM, England JD et al. *The effect of elevated fetal hemoglobin on hemoglobin A_{1c} results: five common hemoglobin A_{1c} methods compared with the IFCC reference method*. American journal of clinical pathology. 2008;129(5):811-4.
12. Shu I, Devaraj S, Hanson SE, Little RR, Wang P. *Comparison of hemoglobin A_{1c} measurements of samples with elevated fetal hemoglobin by three commercial assays*. Clinica Chimica Acta. 2012;413(19-20):1712-3.
13. Suzuki S, Koga M, Niizeki N et al. *Evaluation of glycated hemoglobin and fetal hemoglobin-adjusted HbA_{1c} measurements in infants*. Pediatric Diabetes. 2013;14(4):267-72.
14. Suzuki S, Koga M, Amamiya S et al. *Glycated albumin but not HbA_{1c} reflects glycaemic control in patients with neonatal diabetes mellitus*. Diabetologia. 2011;54(9):2247-53.
15. Fagan DG, Lancashire RJ, Walker A, Sorahan T. *Determinants of fetal hemoglobin in newborn-infants*. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 1995;72(2):F111-F4.
16. Mosca A, Paleari R, Leone D, Ivaldi G. *The relevance of hemoglobin F measurement in the diagnosis of thalassemias and related hemoglobinopathies*. Clinical Biochemistry. 2009;42(18):1797-801.
17. El-Agouza I, Abu Shahla A, Sirdah M. *The effect of iron deficiency anaemia on the levels of haemoglobin subtypes: possible consequences for clinical diagnosis*. Clinical and Laboratory Haematology. 2002;24(5):285-9.
18. Sinha N, Mishra TK, Singh T, Gupta N. *Effect of Iron Deficiency Anemia on Hemoglobin A_{1c} Levels*. Annals of Laboratory Medicine. 2012;32(1):17-22.